UNITED STATES V_00431 A IP COURT Filed 02/29/2008 Page 1 of 1 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK DOCUMENT x ELECTRONICALLY FILED		
Pow	er Jamb, L.L.C., et ano.,	DOC#DATE FILED:2/29/08
The C	Plaintiff(s) V. City of New York, et al., Defendant(s).	08-cv-00431 (LAK) (AX)
-	Consent Scheduling	Order
Upon consent of the parties, it is hereby		
	ORDERED as follows:	1 .
1.	No additional parties may be joined after 7/31/4	5/1/03
2.	No amendments to the pleadings will be permitted after 7/31/08.	
3.	The parties shall make required Rule 26(a)(2) disclosures with respect to:	
	(a) expert witnesses on or before 6/1/08;	
	(b) rebuttal expert witnesses on or before 7/1/08.	
4.	All discovery, including any depositions of experts, shall be completed on or before $\frac{8/15/08}{15}$.	
5.	A joint pretrial order in the form prescribed in Judge Kaplan's individual rules shall be filed on or before 9/27/08	
6.	No motion for summary judgment shall be served after the deadline fixed for submission of the pretrial order. The filing of a motion for summary judgment does not relieve the parties of the obligation to file the pretrial order on time.	
7.	If any party claims a right to trial by jury, proposed voir dire questions and jury instructions shall be filed with the joint pretrial order. Each party or group of parties aligned in interest shall submit not less than ten (10) days prior to trial (a) a trial brief setting forth a summary of its contentions and dealing with any legal and evidentiary problems anticipated at trial, and (b) any motions in limine.	
8.		
9.	This scheduling order may be altered or amended or foreseeable at the date hereof. Counsel should not a matter of routine.	nly on a showing of good cause not assume that extensions will be granted as a
Dated: Lewis A. Kaplan United States District Judge		
CONS	Hon. Andr	Gerald E. Singleton 634750 Attorney for Defendants ERED: